



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/539,463	03/30/2000	Charles W. Selvidge	M-8288 US	8093
22907	7590 02/23/2004		EXAMINER	
BANNER & WITCOFF			CRAIG, DWIN M	
1001 G STREET N W SUITE 1100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20001	•	2123	. 0
			DATE MAILED: 02/23/2004	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/539,463	SELVIDGE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dwin M Craig	2123
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of thi I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3-3-2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under 	is action is non-final. ance except for formal mat	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 30 March 2000 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination The specification	a) accepted or b) ⊠ obe drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea	nts have been received. Its have been received in A ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not	received.
Attachment(s) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) X Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4.6 & 9.	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2123

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-16 have been presented for Examination. Claims 1-16 have been Examined and rejected.

Drawings

- 2. This application has been filed with informal drawings, which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal Drawings will be required when the application is allowed. The drawings filed on 3/30/2003 are acceptable subject to correction of the formalities listed in the attached "Notice of Draft person's Patent Drawing Review," PTO-948.
- 2.1 The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: "emulation system 100" as disclosed in lines 1-2 on page 5 of the specification does not appear in Figure 1. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2123

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1-7 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sample et al. U.S. Patent 5,690,191 in view of Barr et al. 5,297,181.
- 3.1 As regards independent Claims 1 and 2 the Sample et al. reference discloses a logic emulation system (Figure 1, Col. 6 Lines 39-57 & Figure 12), providing a transmit clock signal of a predetermined clock frequency (Figure 10 Item 144, Col. 19 Lines 15-29 and Figure 19 Item 200), a method for transmitting a data packet between substantially asynchronous components (Figure 10, Col. 13 Lines 43-62, Col. 14 Lines 6-10), transmitting serially over a connection between asynchronous systems (Col. 10 Lines 39-47, Col. 10 Lines 66-67, Col. 11 Lines 1-21) and a framing sequence (Col. 24 Lines 67, Col. 25 Lines 1-19).

However the *Sample et al.* reference does not expressly disclose a framing sequence, subsequent to transmitting the framing sequence transmitting a data packet serially, and each bit of the framing sequence is transmitted over two transmit clock periods.

The Sample et al. reference discloses that, the use of serial data encoding techniques can reduce power consumption and increase the speed (performance) of the emulation system (Col. 11 Lines 22-36). An artisan of an ordinary level of skill would have looked in the high speed interface protocol art to find a method to send data over a asynchronous serial link and preserve

Art Unit: 2123

the clock information in order to lower the power consumption of the emulator and reduce the power consumption. In the digital communications art the *Barr et al.* reference discloses a framing sequence (Figure 1 & Col. 1 Lines 30-37 & Col. 3 Lines 62-68), subsequent to transmitting the framing sequence transmitting a data packet serially (Col. 4 Lines 18-31), and each bit of the framing sequence is transmitted over two transmit clock periods (Figure 2(B) & Col. 2 Lines 40-47).

Thus, it would have been obvious, to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have modified the emulation technology of the *Sample et al.* reference with the asynchronous digital communications technology of the *Barr et al.* reference because it is desirable to be able to synchronize over a wide range of sampling rates (Barr et al. Col. 3 Lines 29-34).

- 3.2 As regards independent Claims 5 & 11 see paragraph 3.1 above. Further, as regards the limitation of having a plurality of programmable logic devices the Sample et al. reference discloses (Col. 1 Lines 10-23), and a controller coupled to a host computer (Figure 14 Item 540).
- 3.3 As regards dependent Claim 3 the Sample et al. reference discloses different circuit boards in a chassis (Figure 13).
- 3.4 As regards dependent Claims 4 the Sample et al. reference discloses a controller housed in a host computer (Figure 19 Item 500).
- 3.5 As regards dependent Claims 6, 7, 12 & 13 the Sample et al. reference discloses a master clock signal being generated on a separate controller board (Figure 19).

Art Unit: 2123

- 4. Claims 8-10 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sample et al. U.S. Patent 5,690,191 in view of Barr et al. 5,297,181 and in further view of Marantz et al. U.S. Patent 6,061,511.
 - 4.1 As regards independent Claims 5 and 11 see paragraphs 3.1 and 3.2 above.
- 4.2 As regards dependent Claims 8-10 and 14-16 the Sample et al. reference does not expressly disclose "virtual clocks".

The Marantz et al. reference discloses Virtual Clocks (Col. 1 Lines 52-65 & Col. 2 Lines 66-67, Col. 3 Lines 1-9).

It would have been obvious, to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the inventions was made, to have combined the emulation technology of the Sample et al. reference with the Virtual Clock" technology of the Marantz et al. reference because the Virtual Clock technology many conditions in the logic emulation can be faithfully produced that would otherwise not be properly emulated, (Marantz et al. Col. 3 Lines 2-9).

Conclusion

- 5. Claims 1-16 have been rejected. This action is NON-FINAL.
- 5.1 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dwin M Craig whose telephone number is 703 305-7150. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00 6:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Teska can be reached on 703 305-9704. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2123

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DMC

PRIMARY PARTER 2100

Page 6